

TD10 : BIRATIONAL MAPS, TANGENT SPACE, DIMENSION

A function  $f \in k[X_0, \dots, X_n, Y_0, \dots, Y_m]$  is said to be *bihomogeneous* of degrees  $(a, b)$  in the variables  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_0, \dots, Y_m$  if  $f$  is homogeneous of degree  $a$  in the  $X$ -variables and homogeneous of degree  $b$  in the  $Y$ -variables.

We recall what we got last time about the product of two projective spaces : let  $V = \mathbb{P}_k^n \times \mathbb{P}_k^m$ , then  $V$  can be seen as a variety (meaning that it's irreducible). Denote  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  are the coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $Y_0, \dots, Y_m$  are the coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}_k^m$ . Then  $k(V)$  is exactly the field of functions of the form  $\frac{f}{g}$ , where  $f$  and  $g$  are bihomogeneous of the same degrees.

It is also not hard to check that rational map towards  $V$  are exactly maps  $f : W \rightarrow V$  where  $W$  is a variety and such that  $\pi_1 \circ f$  and  $\pi_2 \circ f$  are rational, where  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are the canonical projections  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^n$  and  $V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^m$ .

Scheme theory allows you to reformulate the previous paraphraps by "fibered products exist" (not exactly cartesian products).

**Exercise 1.** (*Some birational isomorphisms*) —

1. Let  $U_0 = \{x_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ ,  $V_0 = \{y_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^m$  and  $W_0 = \{z_0 \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{n+m}$ . Show that there is an isomorphism  $U_0 \times V_0 \simeq W_0$  that you will describe in terms of projective coordinates. Deduce that  $\mathbb{P}_k^n \times \mathbb{P}_k^m$  and  $\mathbb{P}_k^{m+n}$  are birational.

2. Let  $S = V_+(XY - ZW) \subset \mathbb{P}_k^3$ , and  $\Sigma := S \cap U_0 = V(Y - ZW) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^3$ .

a. Show that  $S$  and  $\Sigma$  are birational  $\mathbb{P}_k^2$  (only use previous exercises).

b. Show that  $S$  contains two families of projective lines, each of the form  $(L_t)_{t \in \mathbb{P}_k^1}$  verifying  $\forall t, u, L_t \neq L_u \Rightarrow L_t \cap L_u = \emptyset$ , and such that the intersection between one line from one family with one line from the other family is always a point.

c. Show that the Zariski topology on  $S$  is not the same as the product topology on  $\mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1$  (yes, again this kind of question).

**Correction.** 1. Define  $f(x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_m) = (1 : \frac{x_1}{x_0} : \dots : \frac{x_n}{x_0} : \frac{y_1}{y_0} : \dots : \frac{y_m}{y_0})$  using previous exercise.  $f$  is the birational map you need.

2. a. this is not only birational but isomorphic by definition.

b.  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  is the answer.

c. the line  $x = y$  is closed  $S$  but show it is not in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . If it were closed, call it  $V$ , and then for all  $x \notin V$ , there is some elementary closed subset  $V(f) \times \mathbb{P}^1$  or  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times V(f)$  for  $f$  homogeneous that contains  $V$  and that does not contain  $x$ . Show that such an  $f$  should be constant.

**Exercise 2.** (*Singularities*) — Give the dimension, the tangent space and the singular points of  $V$  for each affine variety  $V$  given below :

1. When is the hypersurface  $V_d \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  defined by  $X_0^d + \dots + X_n^d = 0$  non-singular, according to  $k$ ?

2.  $V = V(Y - f(X))$  for some  $f \in k[X]$ .

3.  $V$  is an affine subspace of  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$ .

4.  $V = \text{GL}_n(k)$ .

5.  $V = \text{SL}_n(k)$ .

6.  $V = \text{O}_n(k)$ .

**Correction.** 1. Call the equation  $f$ . The question is whether or not there is a point  $P = (p_0, \dots, p_n)$  (suppose  $p_0 = 1$  and work in the affine chart given by  $p_0 \neq 0$ ) such that  $f(P) = 0$  and  $\forall j > 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(P) = 0$ . In characteristic not dividing  $d$ , there is no such point (easy). Otherwise, the point  $(1 : \dots : 1)$  is always singular.

2. For all point  $P \in V$ ,  $T_P V$  is the line through  $P$  of direction  $f'(P)$ . Hence  $V$  is smooth everywhere and of rank 1.

3.  $V$  can be written  $V = V(f_1, \dots, f_r)$  where each  $f_k$  can be written  $f_k = \tilde{f}_k + f_k(0)$  where  $\tilde{f}_k$  is linear. Let  $P \in V$ . Then for all  $i, \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i}$  is the coefficient of  $f_k$  for  $x_i$  so  $\sum_i \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i} x_i = \tilde{f}_k$ . The tangent space is given by the equations  $\sum_i \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_i} (X_i - p_i) = 0$  (where  $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ). Those equations are then just  $\tilde{f}_k - \tilde{f}_k(P) = 0$ . But using the relation  $f_k = \tilde{f}_k + f_k(0)$ , one has  $\tilde{f}_k - \tilde{f}_k(P) = f_k - f_k(P) = f_k$ . Hence  $T_P V = V$ .

4. Let  $f = Z \det(X_{ij}) - 1$ . Then  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \det(X_{ij})$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{ij}} = Z \tilde{X}^{i,j}$  where  $\tilde{X}^{i,j}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -th cofactor of  $(X_{ij})$  (here we really don't care about what it is : it is just some polynomial in  $Z, X_{ij}$ , and those variables will be replaced by  $1/\det(M)$  and  $M_{ij}$ ). If  $P \in \text{GL}_n(k)$ , then  $T_P V$  is given by the single equation  $Z \det(P) - 1 + (\dots) = 0$  where  $(\dots)$  only depends on  $M$  and affinely on the variables  $X_{ij}$ . Hence  $T_P V$  is clearly in an affine bijection with  $\mathcal{M}_n(k)$  hence  $T_P V$  has dimension  $n^2$  (as an affine space). It follows that  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  is of dimension  $n^2$  and smooth.

5. Here,  $f = \det(X_{ij}) - 1$ , so  $T_P V$  is given by  $\sum_{ij} (X_{ij} - P_{ij}) \tilde{P}^{ij} = 0$ . Think geometrically : what is the differential of the determinant? We have " $d \det_P(H)$ " =  $\sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \det}{\partial X_{ij}}(P) H_{ij}$  (this is true in differential calculus but this makes no sense in algebraic geometry). We also have (remember from differential calculus) that " $d \det_P(H)$ " =  $\text{tr}(P^{-1}H)$ .

Let's simply prove algebraically that for every  $A \in \text{GL}_n(k)$  and  $H \in \mathcal{M}_n(k)$ ,  $\text{tr}(A^{-1}H) = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \det}{\partial X_{ij}} H_{ij}$ . Both expressions are linear in  $H$  so let's just prove it for  $H$  being an elementary matrix  $E_{ij}$ . Then  $\text{tr}(A^{-1}H) = (A^{-1})_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -th cofactor of  $A$  and we saw that it is  $\frac{\partial \det}{\partial X_{ij}}(A)$ .

Hence  $\sum_{ij} (X_{ij} - P_{ij}) \tilde{P}^{ij} = \text{tr}(P^{-1}((X_{ij}) - (P_{ij})))$ . Hence we are done proving that  $T_P(V)$  is the set of  $H$  such that  $\text{tr}(P^{-1}H) = n$ . Hence  $T_P(V)$  is affinely the same as the set of all  $H$  such that  $\text{tr}(P^{-1}H) = 0$ . This vector space is of codimension 1 because the linear form  $H \mapsto \text{tr}(P^{-1}H)$  is non-zero because  $P^{-1} \neq 0$ . Hence  $\text{SL}_n(k)$  is of dimension  $n^2 - 1$  and smooth.

6.  $\text{O}_n(k)$  is the set of matrices  $P$  such that  $PP^T = I_n$ . Let  $\phi(P) = PP^T$  and  $\phi^{kl}$  the coordinates of  $\phi$  (I know that  $k$  is already denoting the field but I want to denote those coordinates  $k, l$ , and there is no ambiguity with the context). If  $P \in \text{O}_n(k)$

then  $T_P V$  is (up to some translation) the set of matrices  $H$  such that

$$\forall k, l, \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \phi^{kl}}{\partial X_{ij}}(P) H_{ij} = 0.$$

(this is true because  $V$  is defined as the set of the  $P$  such that  $PP^T = I_n$  i.e. such that  $\forall k, l, \phi^{kl}(P) = \delta_{kl}$ , where  $\delta_{kl}$  is 1 iff  $k = l$ , else 0 (Kronecker's symbol))

(notice that  $PP^T$  is symmetric so there is some redundancy in those equations (only  $n(n+1)/2$  are meaningful) but we don't care about that for now)

From differential calculus we recognize  $d\phi_P(H)$ , which is exactly  $HP^T + (HP^T)^T$ . Lets show that

$$\forall P \in O_n(k), H \in \mathcal{M}_n(k), k, l, \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial \phi^{kl}}{\partial X_{ij}}(P) H_{ij} = (HP^T + (HP^T)^T)_{kl}.$$

Look at the coordinates : it suffices to show that

$$\forall P \in O_n(k), i, j, k, l, \frac{\partial \phi^{kl}}{\partial X_{ij}}(P) = (E_{ij}P^T + (E_{ij}P^T)^T)_{kl},$$

where  $E_{ij}$  is the elementary matrix with only a 1 on the  $i$ -row and on the  $j$ -column.

In one hand, simple computations lead to  $E_{ij}P^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & \dots & \\ p_{1j} & \dots & p_{nj} \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where the line

is in  $i$ -th position. Hence  $(E_{ij}P^T + (E_{ij}P^T)^T)_{kl} = p_{lj}\delta_{ki} + p_{kj}\delta_{li}$ .

On the other hand,  $\frac{\partial \phi^{kl}}{\partial X_{ij}}(P)$  is the same because  $\phi^{kl}(x) = \sum_{u=1}^n x_{ku}x_{lu}$  and the derivative of such a thing is what we want (discuss the 4 cases).

Hence we proved our formula, hence  $T_P V$  is the set of  $H$  such that  $HP^T + (HP^T)^T = 0$ . This is always of dimension of the set of antisymmetric matrices,  $n(n-1)/2$ .

**Exercise 3.** — Prove that the intersection between an hypersurface  $V$  which is not an hyperplane in  $\mathbb{A}_k^n$  and  $T_P V$  is singular at  $P$  (for this semester, the notion of singularity only exists for varieties, so suppose that this intersection is actually a variety).

**Correction.** Denote  $W$  the intersection  $V \cap T_P V$ .  $V \neq T_P V$  so by the Hauptidealsatz  $\dim(W) < \dim(V)$ . We also have  $\dim(V) = n - 1$ . Denote  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_r$  the Taylor expansion of  $f$ . We can translate  $P$  so that  $P = 0$  hence  $f_0 = 0$ .  $f_1$  is the equation of  $T_P V$  so  $W = (f, f_1) = (f_2 + \dots + f_r, f_1)$ .  $f_2 + \dots + f_r$  has no linear term so  $T_P W$  is defined by one equation,  $\nabla f_1 \cdot X = 0$  ( $\nabla f_1$  is the gradient of  $f_1$ ), so it is in fact an hypersurface, of dimension at least  $n - 1$ . Hence  $\dim_k(T_P W) > \text{rank}(W)$ , hence  $P$  is singular.

**Définition.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring. The Krull dimension of  $A$  is the supremum of the length of all chains of proper prime ideals. (we say that a chain with only 1 element is of length 0)



**Exercice 4.** (*Anneaux de dimension 0*) — Soit  $A$  un anneau noetherien de dimension 0. On veut montrer que  $A$  est *artinien*, c'est à dire que toute suite décroissante d'idéaux de  $A$  stationne.

1. Montrez que  $A$  n'a qu'un nombre fini d'idéaux maximaux (qui sont aussi minimaux).

2. On note  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n$  les idéaux maximaux de  $A$ . Montrez qu'il existe un entier  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$  tel que  $A$  soit isomorphe au produit  $\prod_i A/\mathfrak{m}^k$ . Et que chacun des  $A/\mathfrak{m}^k$  est local.

3. Soit  $R$  un anneau local noetherien tel dont l'idéal maximal est nilpotent. Montrez que  $R$  est Artinien

4. En déduire que  $A$  est Artinien.

5. Montrer qu'il n'y a que deux dimensions possibles pour un anneau principal.